Page 1 of 6

ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No - OA-462 of 2018.

	Debendra Mallick. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	For the Applicant : Mr. Amitava Dasgupta, Advocate For the State Respondents: Mr. Sankha Ghosh, Advocate. Affidavit of service filed be kept on record. The instant application has been filed praying for following relief:- a) Direct the Respondent No.1-3 to take into consideration the Representations of the applicant as per Annexure ""which are made pursuant to Hon'ble Tribunal's order in O.A. for	
	reinstatement of t he applicant. b) Direct the Respondents to produce the relevant documents to this Hon'ble Tribunal so that conscionable justice can be done;	

Debendra Mallick.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-462 of 2018.</u>				
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3		
	c) Any other appropriate			
	order or orders as Your			
	Lordship may deem fit and			
	proper.			
	According to the applicant, he was appointed			
	on compassionate ground on 10.07.2006 due to the			
	death of his mother Late Kusum Devi in Group D			
	post. However, subsequently, he was terminated			
	by order dated 29.08.06, against which, he			
	approached this Tribunal in OA 2735 of 2006,			
	which was disposed of by order dated 18.09.2006			
	with a direction to the authority to take action in due			
	process of law against the applicant. Subsequently,			
	he was again terminated by the authority for want			
	of documents that his deceased mother was a			
	confirmed employee. Being aggrieved, he has			
	approached this Tribunal in OA No.3070 of 2006			
	which was disposed of by order dated 15.09.2009			
	holding inter alia			
	"After hearing Mr.			
	Dasgupta and Mr. Roy			
	representing the			
	respective parties, we			
	held that if the			
	petitioner can satisfy			

Form No.

Debendra Mallick.

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-462 of 2018.</u>		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	that really his mother	
	was a permanent	
	government employee	
	during her life time, the	
	termination letter of the	
	petitioner is liable to be	
	quashed and for this	
	purpose, we afforded	
	several chances to the	
	petitioner to produce	
	that relevant document.	
	Today, when the	
	petitioner is unable to	
	produce that document,	
	we have no option, but,	
	to uphold termination	
	order, but, still we grant	
	opportunity to the	
	petitioner that if one	
	fine morning he finds	
	out the document, he	
	can show the same to	
	the appropriate	
	authority for	
	reconsideration of the	
	termination order.	

Debendra Mallick.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No. <u>OA-462</u> Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessa 3
	With this, we dispose of	
	this application."	
	Being aggrieved, the applicant approached in	
	WPST No.321(W) of 2010 before the Hon'ble High	
	Court, which was disposed of vide order dated	
	23.06.2010 uphelding the order of the Tribunal. The	
	applicant again approached this Tribunal in OA 393	
	of 2015, which was dismissed on the ground of res	
	judicata. Thereafter, the applicant approached	
	before the authority with relevant documents.	
	However, as no action was taken by the authority,	
	he made representation dated 22.03.17. Without	
	getting any response to the said representation, the	
	applicant has approached this Tribunal with the	
	aforementioned prayer.	
	Heard the parties and perused the records.	
	On the perusal of the aforementioned orders, it is	
	noted that this Tribunal earlier considered his	
	termination and uphold the same, however by the	
	order dated 15.09.09 granted liberty to approach	
	before the authority with documents, if any. The	
	said order was further upheld by the Hon'ble High	
	Court vide order dated 23.06.2010 in WPST 321 (W)	
	of 2010. However, the applicant again approached	
	before Single Bench of Hon'ble High Court in WPST	

Form No.

Debendra Mallick. ••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-462 of 2018. Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date Serial No. and and dated signature Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 3 185554(W) of 2014, which was ultimately dismissed on 26.02.2015. Thereafter, the applicant again approached this Tribunal in OA 393 of 2015. But, this Tribunal vide their order dated 05.02.2016 had dismissed the OA on the ground of res judicata. Even then he filed a representation before the authority as enclosed in this application, from the perusal of which, we do not find any whisper that he had submitted any documents in support of his claim that her mother was permanent employee of the department. Further, he has claimed that his representation was not properly considered. However, no documents in support of his claim has been enclosed in the instant application except one representation dated 22.03.2017. Further from the perusal of the prayer, it is noted that the applicant has also tried to mislead the court by way of his prayer as if this Tribunal by their orders had directed the respondent for reinstatement of the applicant. In view of the above, since the issue of termination of the applicant had attend finality and in earlier occasion also this Tribunal had observed that the application is misconceived with regard to the termination. We are also of the same view that the applicant is trying to knock the door of the

Form No.

Debendra Mallick.

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-462 of 2018.</u>		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	Tribunal repeatedly without any supporting	
	documents and on the self same cause of action,	
	which has already been decided by this Tribunal	
	and subsequently affirmed by the Hon'ble High	
	Court. Therefore, in our opinion the applicant should	
	be imposed with a cost for such misconceived and	
	frivolous application. Accordingly, the OA is	
	dismissed with a direction to the applicant to	
	deposit a cost of Rs.2,000/- before the State Legal	
	Authority within seven days from the date of this	
	order.	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	